Robert L. Sterup BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C. 315 North 24th Street P.O. Drawer 849 Billings, MT 59103-0849 Tel. (406) 248-2611 Fax (406) 248-3128 rsterup@brownfirm.com

Attorney for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BUTTE DIVISION

Cause No. CV-20-2-BU-BMM-KLD

KEVIN WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,
vs.

Defendant.

FX SOLUTIONS, INC.

Plaintiff,
vs.

MATTHEW BRENT GOETTSCHE,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Defendant Matthew Goettsche respectfully submits conditional objection to Findings and Recommendations of the Hon. Magistrate Judge ("F&R"). Doc.#74.

I. INTRODUCTION

The F&R recommended grant of Goettsche's Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay of this litigation pending arbitration. F&R p. 18. Goettsche submits the F&R should be **adopted**.

In the alternative, and in the event Plaintiffs object to the F&R¹ and the Court declines to adopt the F&R without change, then Goettsche conditionally seeks an Order of the Court staying the litigation pending the outcome of District of New Jersey criminal proceedings.

The Magistrate Judge declined to address the issue. F&R p. 18. If the F&R is adopted, then the issue need not be addressed, and this conditional objection is mooted.

In the alternative, however, all claims against Goettsche should be stayed pending the outcome of criminal proceedings.

II. DISCUSSION

A stay of both civil cases is warranted under the standards of *Keating v. Office* of *Thrift Supervision*, 45 F.3d 322, 324 (9th Cir. 1995). Goettsche has met his burden in showing that 1) his Fifth Amendment rights are significantly implicated in these civil cases, which factually overlap with the criminal case, 2) the Plaintiff's interest

Plaintiffs have signaled intent to object to the F&R. In the event Plaintiffs do <u>not</u> object, however, then this conditional objection is mooted.

Case 2:20-cv-00003-BMM-KLD Document 52 Filed 06/17/20 Page 3 of 5

in proceeding expeditiously is outweighed by Goettsche's Fifth Amendment rights,

3) litigating this proceeding would place a heavy burden on the Defendant, 4) it

would be more convenient and efficient for this Court to stay these proceedings, and

5) the interests of third parties and the public do not weigh against a stay. See

Keating, 45 F.3d at 324. See Doc.#62 pp. 6-14 incorporated by reference herein.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(c)("A statement in a pleading may be adopted by reference

elsewhere in the same pleading or in any other pleading or motion.")

CONCLUSION

The F&R should be adopted. In the alternative, the litigation should be stayed

pending the outcome of criminal proceedings.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17th day of June 2020.

By: /s/ Robert L. Sterup

Robert L. Sterup

BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C.

Case 2:20-cv-00003-BMM-KLD Document 52 Filed 06/17/20 Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned, Robert L. Sterup, certifies that Defendant's Objection to

Findings and Recommendation complies with the requirements of Rule 7.1(d)(2).

The lines in this document are double spaced, except for footnotes and quoted and

indented material, and the document is proportionately spaced with Times New

Roman Font typeface consisting of fourteen characters per inch. The total word

count is 331 words, excluding caption and certificates of compliance and service.

The undersigned relies on the word count of the word processing system used to

prepare this document.

DATED this 17th day of June, 2020.

/s/ Robert L. Sterup_ Robert L. Sterup

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 17th day of June, 2020, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following persons by the following means:

- 1, 2, 3CM-ECF
 _____Hand Delivery
 ____ Mail
 ____ Overnight Delivery Service
 ____ Fax
- 1. CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- 2. Kris McLean
- 3. Tyson McLean

By: <u>/s/Robert Sterup</u> Robert Sterup